UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: BAIR HUGGER FORCED

AIR

WARMING DEVICES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to:

Bowden v. 3M Company, et al. Cause No. 18-cv-03368-JNE-DTS

MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/DTS)

Judge: Joan N. Ericksen

Mag. Judge: David T. Schultz

PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

COMES NOW Plaintiff Carrol Bowden and in response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for failure to comply with Pre-Trial Order 14 (1934, 1935), states:

- Counsel for Plaintiff has been advised of the failure to complete Carrol Bowden's
 Plaintiff Fact Sheet and has been working diligently with her to complete said document.
 - 2. On June 19, 2019, Counsel for Plaintiff served the Plaintiff Fact Sheet.
- 3. On June 19, 2019, Counsel for Plaintiff advised defense counsel that the Plaintiff Fact Sheet had been completed.
- 4. The nature of the former deficiency is not substantive. Rather, it was due to Plaintiff's failure to meet the Court's deadline, which was as a result of Plaintiff's struggle in accumulating the required information necessary to complete the form within this time period. The deficiency has now been cured.
 - 5. Plaintiff requests this Court deny Defendants' Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice.
- 6. Plaintiff will suffer undue prejudice if she is not able to proceed with this matter as she has alleged serious, debilitating, and permanent injuries as a result of the Bair

Hugger device.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Carrol Bowden prays this Court deny Defendants' Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

MORRIS LAW FIRM

/s/James A. Morris, Jr.

California Bar 296852 4111 W Alameda Ave, Ste 611 Burbank, CA 91505

Telephone: (747) 283-1144 Facsimile: (747) 283-1143 Email: jmorris@jamlawyers.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: BAIR HUGGER FORCED

AIR

WARMING DEVICES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to:

Bowden v. 3M Company, et al.

Cause No. 18-cv-03368

MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/DTS)

Judge: Joan N. Ericksen

Mag. Judge: David T. Schultz

L.R. 7.1 WORD COUNT COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATE

I, James A. Morris, Jr., certify that Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss complies with Local Rule 7.1(f) and 7.1(h).

I further certify that, in preparation of this Opposition, I used Microsoft Word and that this word processing program has been applied specifically to include all text, including headings, footnotes and quotations in the following word count.

I further certify that the above referenced Opposition contains 200 words, exclusive of the caption and signature block.

Dated: June 19, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

MORRIS LAW FIRM

/s/James A. Morris, Jr. California Bar 296852

4111 W Alameda Ave, Ste 611

Burbank, CA 91505

Telephone: (747) 283-1144 Facsimile: (747) 283-1143 Email: jmorris@jamlawyers.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 19, 2019, the foregoing: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS was filed electronically by using the CM/ECF system which will deliver the document to all counsel of record.

/s/ James A. Morris, Jr.
James A. Morris, Jr.